UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO.
	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	nsel report that they have met a tipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties	agree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
□ □ □ (Note: Parti appreciably ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their needs e conference and may not file th Conference. See Civil Local Ri vate Process:	NE) (ADR L.R. 5) ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
The parties □	referring the case to an ADI	by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated:		Attomosy for Plaintiff
Datad:		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pu	rsuant to the Stipulation above, the caption	oned matter is hereby referred	to:
	Non-binding Arbitration		
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		
	Mediation		
	Private ADR		
De	adline for ADR session		
	90 days from the date of this order.		
	other		
IT IS SO	ORDERED.		
Dated:			
		UNITED STATES	JUDGE